Appendix B - Lewes Road Triangle Residents Parking Scheme Consultation Report May 2014

Background

The Lewes Road Triangle area of Brighton is an area immediately to the East of the recently extended Area J parking scheme into the Round hill Area of the city. Following the introduction of this extension, the council received a number of complaints and petitions from residents in the Lewes Road Triangle area about general difficulties in parking and the belief that this was at least partly caused by displacement from that new extension. Therefore it was agreed that consultation on a resident parking scheme should take place as soon as possible within the timeframe set out in the committee report.

Headline Findings

The consultation achieved a 23.0% response rate.

61.0% of respondents were in favour of an extension to the Residents Parking Scheme and 39.0% of respondents were against the extension of the scheme.

Methodology

Brighton & Hove City Council Land and Property Gazetteer was used to provide 1247 property addresses in the Lewes Road Triangle area of the city. An information leaflet, detailed maps, a questionnaire and a prepaid envelope for reply was sent to each address. Respondents were invited to complete the survey online via the council's Consultation Portal should they wish to: 38 respondents (13.2%) chose this method.

Plans could also be viewed at staffed exhibitions held at Calvary Evangelical Church (Wednesday 23rd April 1pm-5pm and Thursday 24th April 4pm - 8pm), and at The Salvation Army (Monday 28th April). An unstaffed exhibition was held at Hove Town Hall parking shop from Monday 31st March to Friday 9th May, 9am to 5pm.

287 responses¹ were received giving a response rate of 23.0%.

Results

-

¹ 19 responses were not included. 11 from outside the area, 1 with no address given, and 7 duplicates.

Q1 Are you in favour of a residents parking scheme extension into your road?

| Ye | Yes | | No | |
|--------|------|--------|------|-----|
| Number | % | Number | % | |
| 175 | 61.0 | 112 | 39.0 | 287 |

Results on a street by street basis were as follows:

| | ties | ses | nse | Ye | es | N | 0 |
|--------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------|--------------------|-----|------|-----|------|
| Street | No. properties mailed | No. responses | Response rate % | No. | % | No. | % |
| Aberdeen Road | 37 | 12 | 32.4 | 3 | 25.0 | 9 | 75.0 |
| Brewer Street | 31 | 13 | 41.9 | 8 | 61.5 | 5 | 38.5 |
| Caledonian Road | 61 | 7 | 11.5 | 1 | 14.3 | 6 | 85.7 |
| Edinburgh Road | 36 | 5 | 13.9 | 4 | 80.0 | 1 | 20.0 |
| Inverness Road | 19 | 5 | 26.3 | 2 | 40.0 | 3 | 60.0 |
| Lewes Road | 151 | 22 | 14.6 | 6 | 27.3 | 16 | 72.7 |
| Newport Street | 22 | 6 | 27.3 | 5 | 83.3 | 1 | 16.7 |
| Park Crescent | 104 | 61 | 58.6 | 49 | 80.3 | 12 | 19.7 |
| Park Crescent Place | 85 | 14 | 16.5 | 6 | 42.9 | 8 | 57.1 |
| Park Crescent Road | 79 | 18 | 22.8 | 13 | 72.2 | 5 | 27.8 |
| Park Crescent Terrace | 80 | 20 | 25.0 | 16 | 80.0 | 4 | 20.0 |
| Rose Hill | 31 | 8 | 25.8 | 8 | 100 | 0 | 0.0 |
| St Martins Street | 52 | 7 | 13.5 | 5 | 71.4 | 2 | 28.6 |
| St Mary Magdalene Street | 53 | 9 | 17.0 | 8 | 88.9 | 1 | 11.1 |
| St Pauls Street | 54 | 13 | 24.1 | 9 | 69.2 | 4 | 30.8 |
| Trinity Street | 27 | 7 | 25.9 | 6 | 85.7 | 1 | 14.3 |
| Union Road | 2 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Upper Lewes Road | 323 | 60 | 18.6 | 26 | 43.3 | 34 | 56.7 |
| Total | 1247 | 287 | 23.0 | 175 | 61.0 | 112 | 39.0 |

Q2 Respondents were asked whether they are a resident, a business owner or manager or work in the area.

Respondents could tick more than one option.

| | Number of responses |
|---------------------------|---------------------|
| Resident | 262 |
| Business owner or manager | 30 |
| Work in the area | 20 |
| Other | 7 |

Q3a How many cars in your household?

| No. of cars | Number of responses | Total number of cars |
|-------------|---------------------|----------------------|
| 0 | 46 | 0 |
| 1 | 177 | 177 |
| 2 | 35 | 70 |
| 3 | 8 | 24 |
| 4 or more | 2 | ≥8 |
| Total | 268 | ≥279 |

268 respondents have 279 cars (= 1.1 cars per household).

Q3b Do you have access to off-street car parking?

| Ye | Yes | | No | |
|--------|-----|--------|------|-------|
| Number | % | Number | % | Total |
| 24 | 9.4 | 232 | 90.6 | 256 |

Q3c How many motorcycles in your household?

| No. of Motorcycles | Number of responses | Total number of motorcycles |
|-----------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------|
| 0 | 240 | 0 |
| 1 | 9 | 9 |
| 2 | 2 | 4 |
| 3 | 0 | 0 |
| 4 or more | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 251 | 13 |

251 respondents have 13 Motorcycles (= 0.05 per household).

Q3d How many pedal cycles in your household?

| No. of Pedal Cycles | Number of responses | Total number of pedal cycles |
|------------------------|---------------------|------------------------------|
| 0 | 104 | 0 |
| 1 | 48 | 48 |
| 2 | 53 | 106 |
| 3 | 33 | 99 |
| 4 or more | 15 | ≥60 |
| Total | 253 | ≥313 |

253 respondents have 313 cycles (= 1.24 per household).

Q4a What type of business do you own or manage in the area?

| What type of business? | Number of responses |
|--|---------------------|
| Retail outlet | 9 |
| Office-based | 8 |
| Other includes: Food outlets, builders, removals, public houses, churches, funeral directors, tattooists and sports coaches. | 19 |
| Total responses | 36 |

Q4b How many vehicles are directly associated with your business?

| No. of vehicles | Number of responses | Total number of Vehicles |
|-----------------|---------------------|--------------------------------|
| 1 | 14 | 14 |
| 2 | 2 | 4 |
| 3 | 2 | 6 |
| 4 or more | 10 | 40 |
| Total | 28 | ≥64 |

28 respondents had at least 64 vehicles associated with their business (= 2.3 vehicles per business).

Q5 Any other comments?

An open text box enabled respondents to add comments. Although expressed in residents' own words analysis of the open text shows common themes emerged and have been grouped as follows. The figures show the amount of times a comment was made which is, in some cases, from the same household.

| Comments | Number of times made |
|---|----------------------|
| In favour because of current parking difficulties/ general positive comments | 44 |
| Don't want to pay for parking | 30 |
| No need for a scheme/ Unnecessary | 22 |
| Concerns that the scheme will adversely affect businesses in the area | 14 |
| Not enough residents parking spaces in this scheme/ will need more than one permit | 12 |
| Needs enforcement of current illegal parking | 11 |
| This is purely a money making exercise | 9 |
| Don't want double yellow lines across driveways/ don't want more double yellow lines | 8 |
| Parking restrictions already implemented are the problem/ No problems before adjoining areas./ remove CPZ's | 7 |
| General negative comments | 7 |
| Don't want P&D/ Streets are too narrow | 7 |
| Concerned about displacement | 6 |
| New spaces will block out light for basement flats/ don't want to lose natural lighting | 5 |
| Concerns about disabled parking | 5 |
| Scheme won't help after 8 parking situation | 4 |
| More loading bays needed | 4 |
| Need more motorbike bays | 4 |
| Concerned about the cost of visitor parking | 3 |
| Not enough visitor permits | 3 |
| Need other traffic calming/ more creative options/ speed humps | |
| Unhappy about hours of scheme operation | 3 |

Demographic Information

| Age | Number | % |
|-------|--------|------|
| 18-24 | 13 | 6.4 |
| 25-34 | 31 | 15.3 |
| 35-44 | 53 | 26.1 |
| 45-54 | 47 | 23.1 |
| 55-64 | 32 | 15.8 |
| 65-74 | 18 | 8.9 |
| 75+ | 9 | 4.4 |
| Total | 203 | 100 |

| Gender | Number | % |
|--------|--------|------|
| Male | 110 | 51.2 |
| Female | 105 | 48.8 |
| Total | 215 | 100 |

| Do you identify as the gender you were assigned at birth? | Number | % |
|---|--------|------|
| Yes | 191 | 97.0 |
| No | 6 | 3.0 |
| Total | 197 | 100 |

| Ethnicity | | Number | % |
|---------------|---|--------|------|
| | White English/ Welsh/ Scottish/ Northern Irish/ British | 182 | 85.0 |
| White | White Irish | 2 | 0.9 |
| | Gypsy or Irish Traveller | 1 | 0.5 |
| | Any other white background | 14 | 6.5 |
| | Bangladeshi | 1 | 0.5 |
| Asian or | Indian | 2 | 0.9 |
| Asian British | Pakistani | 0 | 0.0 |
| Asian Dillish | Chinese | 5 | 2.3 |
| | Any other Asian background | 0 | 0.0 |
| Black or | African | 1 | 0.5 |
| Black British | Caribbean | 0 | 0.0 |
| DIACK DITUST | Any other Black background | 0 | 0.0 |
| | Asian & White | 2 | 0.9 |
| Mixed | Black African & White | 1 | 0.5 |
| WIIACU | Black Caribbean & White | 0 | 0.0 |
| | Any other mixed background | 1 | 0.5 |
| Any other | Arab | 0 | 0.0 |
| ethnic group | Any other ethnic group | 2 | 0.9 |
| Total | | 214 | 100 |

| Sexual orientation | Number | % |
|------------------------|--------|------|
| Heterosexual/ straight | 167 | 84.8 |
| Lesbian/ Gay woman | 9 | 4.6 |
| Gay man | 13 | 6.6 |
| Bisexual | 5 | 2.5 |
| Other | 3 | 1.5 |
| Total | 197 | 100 |

| Religion or Belief | Number | % |
|-------------------------------|--------|------|
| I have no particular religion | 95 | 49.5 |
| Buddhist | 10 | 5.2 |
| Christian | 48 | 25.0 |
| Hindu | 1 | 0.5 |
| Jain | 1 | 0.5 |
| Jewish | 1 | 0.5 |
| Muslim | 7 | 3.6 |
| Pagan | 0 | 0.0 |
| Sikh | 0 | 0.0 |
| Agnostic | 2 | 1.0 |
| Atheist | 19 | 9.9 |
| Other | 0 | 0.0 |
| Other philosophical belief | 8 | 4.2 |
| Total | 192 | 100 |

| Are your day-to-day activities limited because of a health problem or disability which has lasted, or is expected to last, at least 12 month? | Number | % |
|---|--------|------|
| Yes, a little | 19 | 9.3 |
| Yes, a lot | 21 | 10.3 |
| No | 164 | 80.4 |
| Total | 204 | 100 |

Of those who answered "yes", disabilities were as follows:

| Please state the type of impairment which applies to you | Number | % ² |
|--|--------|----------------|
| Physical impairment | 16 | 40.0 |
| Sensory impairment | 1 | 2.5 |
| Learning disability/ difficulty | 1 | 2.5 |
| Long-standing illness | 18 | 45.0 |
| Mental health condition | 6 | 15.0 |
| Development condition | 0 | 0.0 |
| Other | 1 | 2.5 |
| Total | 43 | - |

| Are you a carer? | Number | % |
|------------------|--------|------|
| Yes | 13 | 7.6 |
| No | 159 | 92.4 |
| Total | 172 | 100 |

| If yes to carer, do you care for | Number | % ³ |
|----------------------------------|--------|----------------|
| Parent | 8 | 61.5 |
| Child with special needs | 2 | 15.4 |
| Other family member | 0 | 0.0 |
| Partner/ spouse | 4 | 30.8 |
| Friend | 1 | 7.7 |
| Other | 0 | 0.0 |
| Total | 15 | - |

| Armed Forces Service | Yes | No |
|--|-----|-----|
| Are you currently serving in the UK Armed Forces (this includes reservists or part-time service, eg Territorial Army)? | 0 | 165 |
| Have you ever served in the UK Armed Forces? | 7 | 158 |
| Are you a member of a current or former serviceman or woman's immediate family/ household? | 3 | 160 |

 $^{^2}$ % of those who answered yes to the disability question above. Respondents could choose more than one option so percentages will not add to 100%. 3 % of those who answered yes to the carer question above

Appendix

19 responses were removed from reporting as they fell into the following categories:

- Duplicates (only one responses per household was included)
- Responses from residents outside the area
- No address given
- Empty flats under refurbishment

61.1% of these responses were against the introduction of a parking scheme in the Lewes Road Triangle area as show in the table below:

| Why removed from main | In favo | | Not in favour of parking scheme | | Total |
|-------------------------------|---------|------|---------------------------------|------|-------|
| report | Number | % | Number | % | |
| Duplicates | 5 | 71.4 | 2 | 28.6 | 7 |
| No address given ⁴ | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 |
| Outside area | 2 | 18.2 | 9 | 81.1 | 11 |
| Total | 7 | 38.9 | 11 | 61.1 | 18 |

_

⁴ There was I response with no address given but they submitted no answer for Q1.